UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,938	03/15/2004	G. Glenn Henry	CNTR.2072	1288
	7590 01/29/200 AW GROUP, P.C.	EXAMINER		
1900 MESA A	VE.		LANIER, BENJAMIN E	
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80906			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2432	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO@HUFFMANLAW.NET

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/800,938	HENRY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	BENJAMIN E. LANIER	2432
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 I This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration. or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/18/2008.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2432

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 18 November 2008 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's amendment filed 18 November 2008 amends claims 1, 8, 15-16, and 21. Applicant's amendment has been fully considered and entered.

Response to Arguments

3. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2432

5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kessler, U.S. Patent No. 6,789,147, in view of Colavin, U.S. Publication No. 2004/0103263, and further in view of Miller, U.S. Patent No. 6,081,884. Referring to claims 1, 21, Kessler discloses a coprocessor that includes multiple execution units (Figure 2) wherein each of the execution units includes an execution queue to store cryptographic instructions received by the co-processor (Figure 8), which meets the limitation of fetch logic, configured to receive a cryptographic instruction as a part of an instruction flow executing on said microprocessor, wherein said cryptographic instruction prescribes one of the cryptographic operations. The execution units include a plurality of operation blocks that correspond to different cryptographic operations that are used depending upon the type of instruction received in the execution queue (Figure 8 & Col. 9, lines 7-43), which meets the limitation of wherein said cryptographic instruction prescribes one of a plurality of cryptographic algorithms, algorithm logic, operatively coupled to said cryptographic instruction, configured to direct said microprocessor to execute said one of the cryptographic operations according to said one of a plurality of cryptographic algorithms. Using the appropriate operation block, the corresponding cryptographic algorithm is used when processing the received instruction (Col. 9, lines 28-43), which meets the limitation of execution

Art Unit: 2432

logic, operatively coupled to said algorithm logic, configured to execute said one of the cryptographic operations. The operation blocks correspond to cryptographic algorithms such as AES, 3DES, DES, and RC4 (Figures 5 & 8), which meets the limitation of executing a plurality of cryptographic rounds required to complete said one of the cryptographic operations. Kessler does not specify that the co-processor executes that program that includes the cryptographic operations. Colavin discloses a host and co-processor configuration wherein the co-processor executes the actual application program (Abstract & [0018]), which meets the limitation of said cryptographic instruction is one of the instructions in an application program, wherein said application is executed by said microprocessor to obtain expected results. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the co-processor of Kessler to execute the actual application program as described by Colavin in order to efficiently execute programs with high instruction level parallelism as taught by Colavin ([0002]). Kessler does not specify that the co-processor utilizes the x86 instruction set. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the co-processor described in Kessler to implement the x86 instruction set because the x86 instruction set has been widely accepted because of it's compatibility with a large amount of software as taught by Miller (Col. 2, lines 9-14). Applicant's specification shows that integer instructions are inherent to the x86 instruction set (Page 27). Therefore, when implementing the x86 instruction set in the co-processor of Kessler, as previously described, the execution units would effectively operate as a "integer unit" as claimed.

Referring to claims 2, 3, Kessler discloses that the operation blocks correspond to cryptographic algorithms such as AES, 3DES, DES, and RC4 (Figures 5 & 8), which meets the

limitation of an encryption operation, said encryption operation comprises encryption of a plurality of plaintext blocks to generate a corresponding plurality of ciphertext blocks, a decryption operation, said decryption operation comprising decryption of a plurality of ciphertext blocks to generate a corresponding plurality of plaintext blocks.

Page 5

Referring to claims 4, 22, Kessler discloses that the operation blocks correspond to cryptographic algorithms such as AES (Figures 5 & 8), which meets the limitation of one of a plurality of cryptographic algorithms comprises the Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) algorithm.

Referring to claims 5, 23, Kessler discloses that the operation blocks correspond to cryptographic algorithms such as DES (Figures 5 & 8), which meets the limitation of one of a plurality of cryptographic algorithms comprises the Digital Encryption Standard (DES) algorithm.

Referring to claims 6, 24, Kessler discloses that the operation blocks correspond to cryptographic algorithms such as 3DES (Figures 5 & 8), which meets the limitation of one of a plurality of cryptographic algorithms comprises the Triple-DES algorithm.

Referring to claims 7, 20, 25, Kessler does not specify that the co-processor utilizes the x86 instruction set. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the co-processor described in Kessler to implement the x86 instruction set because the x86 instruction set has been widely accepted because of it's compatibility with a large amount of software as taught by Miller (Col. 2, lines 9-14).

Referring to claims 8, 9, Kessler discloses that each execution unit includes a register file block that includes that data to be operated on by the corresponding cryptographic algorithm

Art Unit: 2432

(Figure 8 & Co. 9, lines 18-40), which meets the limitation of said cryptographic instruction implicitly references a plurality of registers within said microprocessor, a first register, wherein contents of said first register comprise a first pointer to a first memory address, said first memory address specifying a first location in memory for access of said plurality of input text blocks upon which said one of the cryptographic operations is to be accomplished. Kessler does not specify that the co-processor utilizes the x86 instruction set. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the co-processor described in Kessler to implement the x86 instruction set because the x86 instruction set has been widely accepted because of it's compatibility with a large amount of software as taught by Miller (Col. 2, lines 9-14).

Referring to claim 10, Kessler discloses that each execution unit includes a register file block that includes that data to be operated on by the corresponding cryptographic algorithm (Figure 8 & Co. 9, lines 18-40), which meets the limitation of a second register, wherein contents of said second register comprise a second pointer to a second memory address, said second memory address specifying a second location in said memory for storage of a corresponding plurality of output text blocks, said corresponding plurality of output text blocks being generated as a result of accomplishing said one of the cryptographic operations upon a plurality of input text blocks.

Referring to claim 11, Kessler discloses that each execution unit includes a register file block that includes that data to be operated on by the corresponding cryptographic algorithm (Figure 8 & Co. 9, lines 18-40), which meets the limitation of a third register, wherein contents of said third register indicate a number of text blocks within a plurality of input text blocks.

Art Unit: 2432

Referring to claim 12, Kessler discloses that the operation blocks correspond to cryptographic algorithms such as AES, 3DES, DES, and RC4 (Figures 5 & 8), which meets the limitation of a fourth register, wherein contents of said fourth register comprise a third pointer to a third memory address, said third memory address specifying a third location in memory for access of cryptographic key data for use in accomplishing said one of the cryptographic operations.

Referring to claim 13, Kessler discloses that the operation blocks correspond to cryptographic algorithms such as RC4 (Figures 5 & 8), which meets the limitation of a fifth register, wherein contents of said fifth register comprises a fourth pointer to a fourth memory address, said fourth memory address specifying a fourth location in memory, said fourth location comprising said initialization vector location, contents of said initialization vector comprising an initialization vector or initialization vector equivalent for use in accomplishing said one of the cryptographic operations.

Referring to claim 14, Kessler discloses that the operation blocks correspond to cryptographic algorithms such as AES, 3DES, DES, and RC4 (Figures 5 & 8), which meets the limitation of a sixth register, wherein contents of said sixth register comprises a fifth pointer to a fifth memory address, said fifth memory address specifying a fifth location in memory for access of a control word for use in accomplishing said one of the cryptographic operations, wherein said control word prescribes cryptographic parameters for said one of the cryptographic operations because Applicant's specification essentially states that the control word identifies the algorithm (Page 38, paragraph 55).

Application/Control Number: 10/800,938

Art Unit: 2432

Referring to claim 15, Kessler discloses that the operation blocks correspond to cryptographic algorithms such as 3DES, DES (Figures 5 & 8), which meets the limitation of a cryptographic unit executes a plurality of cryptographic rounds on each of a plurality of input text blocks to generate a corresponding each of a plurality of output text blocks, wherein said plurality of cryptographic rounds are prescribed by a control word that is provided to said cryptographic unit.

Page 8

Referring to claim 16, Kessler discloses a co-processor that includes multiple execution units (Figure 2) wherein each of the execution units includes an execution queue to store cryptographic instructions received by the co-processor (Figure 8), which meets the limitation of a cryptographic unit configured to execute one of the cryptographic operations response to receipt of a cryptographic instruction that prescribes said one of the cryptographic operations, wherein said cryptographic instruction is one of the instructions in an application program that are fetched from memory by fetch logic in said microprocessor. The execution units include a plurality of operation blocks that correspond to different cryptographic operations that are used depending upon the type of instruction received in the execution queue (Figure 8 & Col. 9, lines 7-43), which meets the limitation of an algorithm field, configured to prescribed one of a plurality of cryptographic algorithms to be employed when executing said one of the cryptographic operations. Using the appropriate operation block, the corresponding cryptographic algorithm is used when processing the received instruction (Col. 9, lines 28-43), which meets the limitation of algorithm logic, operatively coupled to said cryptography unit, configured to direct said microprocessor to perform said one of the cryptographic operations according to said one of the plurality of cryptographic algorithms. Kessler does not specify that

Application/Control Number: 10/800,938

Page 9

Art Unit: 2432

the co-processor executes that program that includes the cryptographic operations. Colavin discloses a host and co-processor configuration wherein the co-processor executes the actual application program (Abstract & [0018]), which meets the limitation of wherein said microprocessor executes said application program to obtain expected results. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the co-processor of Kessler to execute the actual application program as described by Colavin in order to efficiently execute programs with high instruction level parallelism as taught by Colavin ([0002]). Kessler does not specify that the co-processor utilizes the x86 instruction set. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the co-processor described in Kessler to implement the x86 instruction set because the x86 instruction set has been widely accepted because of it's compatibility with a large amount of software as taught by Miller (Col. 2, lines 9-14). Applicant's specification shows that integer instructions are inherent to the x86 instruction set (Page 27). Therefore, when implementing the x86 instruction set in the co-processor of Kessler, as previously described, the execution units would effectively operate as a "integer unit" as claimed.

Referring to claim 17, Kessler discloses that the operation blocks correspond to cryptographic algorithms such as AES (Figures 5 & 8), which meets the limitation of one of a plurality of cryptographic algorithms comprises the Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) algorithm.

Referring to claim 18, Kessler discloses that the operation blocks correspond to cryptographic algorithms such as DES (Figures 5 & 8), which meets the limitation of one of a

Art Unit: 2432

plurality of cryptographic algorithms comprises the Digital Encryption Standard (DES) algorithm.

Referring to claim 19, Kessler discloses that the operation blocks correspond to cryptographic algorithms such as 3DES (Figures 5 & 8), which meets the limitation of one of a plurality of cryptographic algorithms comprises the Triple-DES algorithm.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BENJAMIN E. LANIER whose telephone number is (571)272-3805. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,938

Page 11

Art Unit: 2432

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2432